## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
	)	Criminal No. 99-175
v.	)	
	)	Judge Cathy Bissoon
CHARLES STUBBS,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

## **ORDER**

Defendant's Motion (Doc. 370) for compassionate release, based on Covid-19 related health concerns and otherwise, will be denied.

To the extent that Defendant seeks relief based on Covid, the government is correct that his evidence regarding administrative exhaustion reflects efforts made prior to the pandemic. Defendant has not shown himself to have exhausted administrative remedies as relates to Covid; and his Motion is deniable on that basis, alone. The Court also agrees with the government that Defendant's vaccination status (fully vaccinated) severely undermines the notion that Covid concerns warrant his release. *See* Doc. 372 at 6-9 (collecting cases).

There is little reason to dwell on these matters, however, because – even assuming

Defendant could demonstrate extraordinary and compelling circumstances – he has failed to
convince the Court that his release or a reduction in sentence is warranted in light of the factors
in Section 3553. Defendant was convicted of conspiracy, bank robbery, armed bank robbery,
the use of a firearm during a crime of violence and possession of a firearm by a convicted felon.

See Judgment (Doc. 218). Defendant was sentenced to an effective term of imprisonment of
562 months, see id., and the Judgment was affirmed on appeal. See <u>U.S. v. Stubbs</u>, 103 Fed.

Appx. 471, 472 (3d Cir. July 9, 2004). Defendant's criminal history was significant,

and involved crimes of violence, resulting in him being designated a Career Offender and an Armed Career Criminal. *See* Doc. 372 at 1.

As of now, Defendant has served roughly half of his sentence. *See id.* at 1-2. Although Defendant's rehabilitative efforts while in custody are laudable – and the Court does not take lightly the familial concerns recited in his Motion – the undersigned is not persuaded that the requested relief is warranted. Specifically, granting such relief would not afford adequate weight to considerations regarding Defendant's significant criminal history, the very serious nature of his offenses and the needs for just punishment and deterrence.

For these reasons, along with the others identified in the government's opposition (Doc. 372), Defendant's Motion (**Doc. 370**) is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

August 4, 2022

s\Cathy Bissoon
Cathy Bissoon

United States District Judge

cc (via First-Class U.S. Mail, to return address on Defendant's envelope of submission):

Charles Stubbs 05265-068 FCI McKean P.O. Box 8000 Bradford, PA 16701

cc (via ECF email notification):

All Counsel of Record